

Bose-Einstein Results from L3 and the Tau Model

W.J. Metzger

Radboud University Nijmegen

with T. Novák, T. Csörgő, W. Kittel

VI Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy
Kiev
14–18 September 2010

BEC Introduction

$$R_2 = \frac{\rho_2(p_1, p_2)}{\rho_1(p_1)\rho_1(p_2)} = \frac{\rho_2(Q)}{\rho_0(Q)}$$

Assuming particles produced incoherently with spatial source density $S(x)$,

$$R_2(Q) = 1 + \lambda |\tilde{S}(Q)|^2$$

where $\tilde{S}(Q) = \int dx e^{iQx} S(x)$ – Fourier transform of $S(x)$

$\lambda = 1$ — $\lambda < 1$ if production not completely incoherent

Assuming $S(x)$ is a Gaussian with radius $r \implies$

$$R_2(Q) = 1 + \lambda e^{-Q^2 r^2}$$

▶ intro

The L3 Data

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ at $\sqrt{s} \approx M_Z$
- about $36 \cdot 10^6$ like-sign pairs of well measured charged tracks from about $0.8 \cdot 10^6$ events
- about $0.5 \cdot 10^6$ 2-jet events — Durham $y_{\text{cut}} = 0.006$
- about $0.3 \cdot 10^6 > 2$ jets, “3-jet events”
- use mixed events for reference sample, ρ_0



Previous Results: Elongation

Results in LCMS frame: Longitudinal = Thrust axis

▶ LCMS


$$\frac{R_L}{R_{\text{side}}}$$

L3	$1.25 \pm 0.03^{+0.36}_{-0.05}$
OPAL	$1.19 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.01}$

(ZEUS finds similar results in ep)
~25% elongation along thrust axis




OPAL:

Elongation larger for narrower jets






- Conclusion: Elongation, but it is relatively small.
 - So: Ignore it. — Assume spherical.

Transverse Mass dependence of r



Smirnova&Lörstad, 7th Int. Workshop on Correlations and Fluctuations (1996)

Van Dalen, 8th Int. Workshop on Correlations and Fluctuations (1998)



OPAL, Eur. Phys. J C52 (2007) 787

r decreases with m_t (or k_t) for all directions

Results on Q from L3 Z decay

$$R_2 = \gamma \cdot [1 + \lambda G] \cdot (1 + \epsilon Q)$$

- Gaussian
 $G = \exp(-(rQ)^2)$
- Edgeworth expansion

$$G = \exp(-(rQ)^2) \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\kappa}{3!} H_3(rQ)\right]$$

Gaussian if $\kappa = 0$

$$\kappa = 0.71 \pm 0.06$$


- symmetric Lévy

$$G = \exp(-|rQ|^\alpha)$$

$$0 < \alpha \leq 2$$

$$\alpha = 1.34 \pm 0.04$$

Poor χ^2 . Edgeworth and Lévy better than Gaussian, but poor.
 Problem is the dip of R_2 in the region $0.6 < Q < 1.5$ GeV



CL: 10^{-15} 10^{-5} 10^{-8}

Summary

- BEC depend (approximately) only on Q , not its components.
- BEC depend on m_t .
- Gaussian and similar parametrizations do not fit.

Turn now to a model providing such dependence.

The τ -model

T.Csörgő, W.Kittel, W.J.Metzger, T.Novák, Phys.Lett.**B663**(2008)214
 T.Csörgő, J.Zimányi, Nucl.Phys.**A517**(1990)588

- Assume momentum is related to avg. production point:

$$\bar{x}^\mu(p^\mu) = a_\tau p^\mu$$

where for 2-jet events, $a = 1/m_t$

$\tau = \sqrt{\vec{t}^2 - \vec{r}_z^2}$ is the “longitudinal” proper time

and $m_t = \sqrt{E^2 - p_z^2}$ is the “transverse” mass

- Let $\delta_\Delta(x^\mu - \bar{x}^\mu)$ be dist. of prod. points about their mean, and $H(\tau)$ the dist. of τ . Then the emission function is

$$S(x, p) = \int_0^\infty d\tau H(\tau) \delta_\Delta(x - a_\tau p) \rho_1(p)$$

- In the plane-wave approx.

F.B.Yano, S.E.Koonin, Phys.Lett.**B78**(1978)556.

$$\rho_2(p_1, p_2) = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 S(x_1, p_1) S(x_2, p_2) (1 + \cos([p_1 - p_2] [x_1 - x_2]))$$

- Assume $\delta_\Delta(x - a_\tau p)$ is very narrow — a δ -function. Then

$$R_2(p_1, p_2) = 1 + \lambda \operatorname{Re} \tilde{H}\left(\frac{a_1 Q^2}{2}\right) \tilde{H}\left(\frac{a_2 Q^2}{2}\right), \quad \tilde{H}(\omega) = \int d\tau H(\tau) \exp(i\omega\tau)$$

BEC in the τ -model

- Assume a Lévy distribution for $H(\tau)$

Since no particle production before the interaction,
 $H(\tau)$ is one-sided.

Characteristic function is

$$\tilde{H}(\omega) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\Delta\tau |\omega|)^{\alpha} \left(1 - i \operatorname{sign}(\omega) \tan \left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \right) + i\omega\tau_0 \right], \quad \alpha \neq 1$$

where

- α is the index of stability
- τ_0 is the proper time of the onset of particle production
- $\Delta\tau$ is a measure of the width of the dist.

- Then, R_2 depends on Q, a_1, a_2

$$R_2(Q, a_1, a_2) = \gamma \left\{ 1 + \lambda \cos \left[\frac{\tau_0 Q^2 (a_1 + a_2)}{2} + \tan \left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta\tau Q^2}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \frac{a_1^{\alpha} + a_2^{\alpha}}{2} \right] \cdot \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\Delta\tau Q^2}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \frac{a_1^{\alpha} + a_2^{\alpha}}{2} \right] \right\} \cdot (1 + \epsilon Q)$$

BEC in the τ -model

$$R_2(Q, a_1, a_2) = \gamma \left\{ 1 + \lambda \cos \left[\frac{\tau_0 Q^2 (a_1 + a_2)}{2} + \tan \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta \tau Q^2}{2} \right)^\alpha \frac{a_1^\alpha + a_2^\alpha}{2} \right] \cdot \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\Delta \tau Q^2}{2} \right)^\alpha \frac{a_1^\alpha + a_2^\alpha}{2} \right] \right\} \cdot (1 + \epsilon Q)$$

Simplification:

- Particle production begins immediately, $\tau_0 = 0$
- effective radius, R , defined by $R^{2\alpha} = \left(\frac{\Delta \tau}{2} \right)^\alpha \frac{a_1^\alpha + a_2^\alpha}{2}$
- Then



$$R_2(Q) = \gamma \left[1 + \lambda \cos \left((R_a Q)^{2\alpha} \right) \exp \left(- (R Q)^{2\alpha} \right) \right] \cdot (1 + \epsilon Q)$$

where $R_a^{2\alpha} = \tan \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) R^{2\alpha}$



Compare to sym. Lévy parametrization:

$$R_2(Q) = \gamma \left[1 + \lambda \exp \left[- |r Q|^\alpha \right] \right] (1 + \epsilon Q)$$

2-jet Results on Simplified τ -model from L3 Z decay



3-jet Results on Simplified τ -model from L3 Z decay




Summary of Simplified τ -model

	α	R (fm)	R_a (fm)	CL
2-jet	$0.41 \pm 0.02^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$	$0.79 \pm 0.04^{+0.09}_{-0.19}$	$0.69 \pm 0.04^{+0.21}_{-0.09}$	57%
3-jet	$0.35 \pm 0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$1.06 \pm 0.05^{+0.59}_{-0.31}$	$0.85 \pm 0.04^{+0.15}_{-0.05}$	76%
3-jet	$0.41 \pm \text{fixed}$	0.93 ± 0.03	0.76 ± 0.01	38%
2-jet	$0.44 \pm 0.01^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.78 \pm 0.04^{+0.09}_{-0.16}$	—	49%
3-jet	$0.42 \pm 0.01^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$0.98 \pm 0.04^{+0.55}_{-0.14}$	—	10%
3-jet	$0.44 \pm \text{fixed}$	0.87 ± 0.01	—	3%


- consistent values of α
- $R_a^{2\alpha} = \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) R^{2\alpha}$ to 0.5σ for 2-jet and to 1.5σ for 3-jet
- Simplified τ -model works well
- R seems to be larger for 3-jet than for 2-jet events

▶ fitR ▶ fitRR



Full τ -model for 2-jet events

- τ -model predicts dependence on m_t , $R_2(Q, m_{t1}, m_{t2})$
 - Parameters α , $\Delta\tau$, τ_0 are independent of m_t
 - λ (strength of BEC) can depend on m_t



Summary of τ -model

- τ -model with a one-sided Lévy proper-time distribution describes BEC well
 - in simplified form it provides a new parametrization of $R_2(Q)$ for both 2- and 3-jet events,
 - in full form for 2-jet events, $R_2(Q, m_{t1}, m_{t2})$
 - both Q - and m_t -dependence described correctly
 - Note: we found $\Delta\tau$ to be independent of m_t
 $\Delta\tau$ enters R_2 as $\Delta\tau Q^2/m_t$
 In Gaussian parametrization, r enters R_2 as $r^2 Q^2$
 Thus $\Delta\tau$ independent of m_t corresponds to $r \propto 1/\sqrt{m_t}$
- BUT, what about elongation?

Elongation?

- Previous elongation results used fits of Gaussian or Edgeworth
- But we find that Gaussian and Edgeworth fit $R_2(Q)$ poorly
- τ -model predicts no elongation and fits the data well
- Could the elongation results be an artifact of an incorrect fit function?
or is the τ -model in need of modification?
- So, we modify *ad hoc* the τ -model description to allow elongation and see what we get

Elongation in the Simplified τ -model?

LCMS:
$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= Q_L^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + Q_{\text{out}}^2 - (\Delta E)^2 \\ &= Q_L^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + Q_{\text{out}}^2 (1 - \beta^2) , \quad \beta = \frac{p_{1\text{out}} + p_{2\text{out}}}{E_1 + E_2} \end{aligned}$$

Replace $R^2 Q^2 \implies A^2 = R_L^2 Q_L^2 + R_{\text{side}}^2 Q_{\text{side}}^2 + R_{\text{out}}^2 Q_{\text{out}}^2$

Then in τ -model,

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(Q_L, Q_{\text{side}}, Q_{\text{out}}) &= \gamma \left[1 + \lambda \cos \left(\tan \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) A^{2\alpha} \right) \exp(-A^{2\alpha}) \right] \\ &\cdot (1 + \epsilon_L Q_L + \epsilon_{\text{side}} Q_{\text{side}} + \epsilon_{\text{out}} Q_{\text{out}}) \end{aligned}$$

for 2-jet events:

	R_{side}/R_L (fm)	χ^2/dof	CL
τ -model	0.61 ± 0.02	14847/14921	66%
Edgeworth	0.64 ± 0.02	14891/14919	56%

consistent

▶ fit

Elongation is real

Direct Test of Q^2 -only Dependence

$$1. \quad Q^2 = Q_{\text{LE}}^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + Q_{\text{out}}^2$$

where $Q_{\text{LE}}^2 = Q_{\text{L}}^2 - (\Delta E)^2$
 inv. boosts along thrust axis

$$2. \quad Q^2 = Q_{\text{L}}^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + q_{\text{out}}^2$$

where $q_{\text{out}} = Q_{\text{out}}$ boosted (β) along
 out direction to rest frame of pair

In τ -model, for case 1

$$R_2(Q_{\text{LE}}, Q_{\text{side}}, Q_{\text{out}}) = \gamma \left[1 + \lambda \cos \left(\tan \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) B^{2\alpha} \right) \exp \left(-B^{2\alpha} \right) \right] b$$

$$\text{where } B^2 = R_{\text{LE}}^2 Q_{\text{LE}}^2 + R_{\text{side}}^2 Q_{\text{side}}^2 + R_{\text{out}}^2 Q_{\text{out}}^2$$

$$b = 1 + \epsilon_{\text{LE}} Q_{\text{LE}} + \epsilon_{\text{side}} Q_{\text{side}} + \epsilon_{\text{out}} Q_{\text{out}}$$

and comparable expression for case 2, $R_2(Q_{\text{L}}, Q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}})$


Direct Test of Q^2 -only Dependence

Compare fits with all ‘radii’ free
to fits with all ‘radii’ constrained to be equal

case 1	α	0.46 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.01	fits1
	R_{LE} (fm)	0.84 ± 0.04	0.71 ± 0.04	
	$R_{\text{side}}/R_{\text{LE}}$	0.60 ± 0.02	1	
	$R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{LE}}$	0.986 ± 0.003	1	difference
	χ^2/DoF	$14590/14538$	$14886/14540$	$\Delta\chi^2 = 296/2$
	CL	38%	2%	≈ 0
case 2	α	0.41 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.01	fits2
	R_{L} (fm)	0.96 ± 0.05	0.82 ± 0.04	
	$R_{\text{side}}/R_{\text{L}}$	0.62 ± 0.02	1	
	$r_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{L}}$	1.23 ± 0.03	1	difference
	χ^2/DoF	$10966/10647$	$11430/10649$	$\Delta\chi^2 = 464/2$
	CL	2%	10^{-7}	≈ 0

Dependence on components of Q is strongly preferred.

Q Dependence



case 2, $R_2(Q_L, Q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}})$ vs.
 Q_L for $Q_{\text{side}}, q_{\text{out}} < 0.08 \text{ GeV}$
 Q_{side} for $Q_L, q_{\text{out}} < 0.08 \text{ GeV}$
 q_{out} for $Q_L, Q_{\text{side}} < 0.08 \text{ GeV}$

Dependence on components of Q is preferred.

Summary

- R_2 depends, to some degree, separately on components of Q , i.e., on \vec{Q}
- contradicts τ -model, where dependence is on Q , not on \vec{Q}
- Nevertheless, τ -model with a one-sided Lévy proper-time distribution succeeds:
 - Simplified, provides a new parametrization of $R_2(Q)$ which works well
 - $R_2(Q, m_{t1}, m_{t2})$ successfully fits R_2 for 2-jet events both Q - and m_t -dependence described correctly
 - Note: we found $\Delta\tau$ to be independent of m_t
 $\Delta\tau$ enters R_2 as $\Delta\tau Q^2/m_t$
 In Gaussian parametrization, r enters R_2 as $r^2 Q^2$
 Thus $\Delta\tau$ independent of m_t corresponds to $r \propto 1/\sqrt{m_t}$
- But dependence of R_2 on components of Q implies τ -model is in need of modification
 Perhaps, a should be different for transverse/longitudinal

$$\bar{x}^\mu(p^\mu) = a \tau p^\mu, \quad a = 1/m_t \text{ for 2-jet}$$

Emission Function of 2-jet Events.

In the τ -model, the emission function in configuration space is

$$S(\vec{x}, \tau) = \frac{1}{\bar{n}} \frac{d^4 n}{d\tau d\vec{x}} = \frac{1}{\bar{n}} \left(\frac{m_t}{\tau} \right)^3 H(\tau) \rho_1 \left(\vec{p} = \frac{m_t \vec{x}}{\tau} \right)$$


For simplicity, assume $\rho_1(\vec{p}) = G(\eta) I(r)$ check –Factorization OK
 $(\eta = \text{space-time rapidity})$

Strongly correlated $x, p \implies$

$$\eta = y \quad r = p_t \tau / m_t$$

$$G(\eta) = \rho_y(\eta) \quad I(r) = \left(\frac{m_t}{\tau} \right)^3 \rho_{p_t}(r m_t / \tau)$$


$(\rho_y, \rho_{p_t}$ are inclusive single-particle distributions)




So, using experimental $\rho_y(y)$, $\rho_{p_t}(p_t)$ dists.
 and $H(\tau)$ from BEC fits,
 we can reconstruct S .

Emission Function of 2-jet Events.

Integrating over r ,



Integrating over z ,




“Boomerang” shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone




Emission Function of 2-jet Events.

Integrating over z ,

Integrating over r ,



"Boomerang" shape



Expanding ring

Particle production is close to the light-cone

α_s

- LLA parton shower leads to a fractal in momentum space
fractal dimension is related to α_s Gustafson et al.
- Lévy dist. arises naturally from a fractal, or random walk,
or anomalous diffusion Metzler and Klafter, Phys.Rep.339(2000)1.
- strong momentum-space/configuration space correlation of
 τ -model \implies fractal in configuration space with same α
- generalized LPHD suggests particle dist. has same
properties as gluon dist.
- Putting this all together leads to Csörgő et al.

$$\alpha_s = \frac{2\pi}{3} \alpha^2$$

- Using our value of $\alpha = 0.47 \pm 0.04$ yields $\alpha_s = 0.46 \pm 0.04$
- This value is reasonable for a scale of 1–2 GeV,
where production of hadrons takes place
cf., from τ decays $\alpha_s(m_\tau \approx 1.8 \text{ GeV}) = 0.34 \pm 0.03$

BEC Introduction

q-particle density $\rho_q(p_1, \dots, p_q) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d^q \sigma_q(p_1, \dots, p_q)}{dp_1 \dots dp_q}$

2-particle correlation: $\frac{\rho_2(p_1, p_2)}{\rho_1(p_1)\rho_1(p_2)}$

To study only BEC, not all correlations,

let $\rho_0(p_1, p_2)$ be the 2-particle density if no BEC
($= \rho_2$ of the ‘reference sample’) and define

$$R_2(p_1, p_2) = \frac{\rho_2(p_1, p_2)}{\rho_1(p_1)\rho_1(p_2)} \cdot \frac{\rho_1(p_1)\rho_1(p_2)}{\rho_0(p_1, p_2)} = \frac{\rho_2(p_1, p_2)}{\rho_0(p_1, p_2)}$$

Since 2- π BEC only at small Q

$$Q = \sqrt{-(p_1 - p_2)^2} = \sqrt{M_{12}^2 - 4m_\pi^2}$$

integrate over other variables:

$$R_2(Q) = \frac{\rho(Q)}{\rho_0(Q)}$$

LCMS

The usual parametrization assumes a symmetric Gaussian source

But, there is no reason to expect this symmetry in $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.


Therefore, do a 3-dim. analysis in the

Longitudinal Center of Mass System (LCMS):

Boost each π -pair along event axis
(thrust or sphericity) $p_{T,1} = -p_{T,2}$

$\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2$ defines ‘out’ axis

$$Q_{\text{side}} \perp (Q_L, Q_{\text{out}})$$



LCMS

Advantages of LCMS:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= Q_L^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + Q_{\text{out}}^2 - (\Delta E)^2 \\ &= Q_L^2 + Q_{\text{side}}^2 + Q_{\text{out}}^2 (1 - \beta^2) \quad \text{where } \beta \equiv \frac{p_{\text{out}1} + p_{\text{out}2}}{E_1 + E_2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the energy difference,
and therefore the difference in emission time of the pions
couples only to the out-component, Q_{out} .

Thus,

Q_L and Q_{side} reflect only spatial dimensions of the source
 Q_{out} reflects a mixture of spatial and temporal dimensions.



Fit Results Simplified τ -model

parameter	two-jet	three-jet
λ	$0.63 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.35}$	$0.92 \pm 0.05^{+0.06}_{-0.48}$
α	$0.41 \pm 0.02^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$	$0.35 \pm 0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$
R (fm)	$0.79 \pm 0.04^{+0.09}_{-0.19}$	$1.06 \pm 0.05^{+0.59}_{-0.31}$
R_a (fm)	$0.69 \pm 0.04^{+0.21}_{-0.09}$	$0.85 \pm 0.04^{+0.15}_{-0.05}$
ϵ (GeV $^{-1}$)	$0.001 \pm 0.002^{+0.005}_{-0.008}$	$0.000 \pm 0.002^{+0.001}_{-0.007}$
γ	$0.988 \pm 0.005^{+0.026}_{-0.012}$	$0.997 \pm 0.005^{+0.019}_{-0.002}$
χ^2/DoF	91/94	84/94
confidence level	57%	76%



Fit Results Simplified τ -model

parameter	two-jet	three-jet
λ	$0.61 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.26}$	$0.84 \pm 0.04^{+0.04}_{-0.37}$
α	$0.44 \pm 0.01^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$	$0.42 \pm 0.01^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$
R (fm)	$0.78 \pm 0.04^{+0.09}_{-0.16}$	$0.98 \pm 0.04^{+0.55}_{-0.14}$
ϵ (GeV $^{-1}$)	$0.005 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.003$	$0.008 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.005$
γ	$0.979 \pm 0.002^{+0.009}_{-0.003}$	$0.977 \pm 0.001^{+0.013}_{-0.008}$
χ^2/DoF	95/95	113/95
confidence level	49%	10%



Fit Results Full τ -model for 2-jet events

m _t regions (GeV)		average m _t (GeV)		confidence level (%)	
m _{t1}	m _{t2}	Q < 0.4	all		λ
0.14 – 0.26	0.14 – 0.22	0.19	0.19	10	0.39 ± 0.02
0.14 – 0.34	0.22 – 0.30	0.27	0.27	48	0.76 ± 0.03
0.14 – 0.46	0.30 – 0.42	0.37	0.37	74	0.83 ± 0.03
0.14 – 0.66	0.42 – 4.14	0.52	0.52	13	0.97 ± 0.04
0.26 – 0.42	0.14 – 0.22	0.25	0.26	22	0.53 ± 0.02
0.34 – 0.46	0.22 – 0.30	0.32	0.33	33	0.80 ± 0.03
0.46 – 0.58	0.30 – 0.42	0.43	0.44	34	0.91 ± 0.04
0.66 – 0.86	0.42 – 4.14	0.65	0.65	66	1.01 ± 0.05
0.42 – 0.62	0.14 – 0.22	0.34	0.34	17	0.41 ± 0.03
0.46 – 0.70	0.22 – 0.30	0.41	0.41	55	0.64 ± 0.03
0.58 – 0.82	0.30 – 0.42	0.52	0.52	59	0.70 ± 0.04
0.86 – 1.22	0.42 – 4.14	0.80	0.81	24	0.66 ± 0.05
0.70 – 4.14	0.22 – 0.30	0.59	0.65	4	0.37 ± 0.04
0.82 – 4.14	0.30 – 0.42	0.71	0.76	11	0.56 ± 0.05

Fit Result $R_2(Q, m_{t1}, m_{t2})$

parameter	
λ	$0.58 \pm 0.03^{+0.08}_{-0.24}$
α	$0.47 \pm 0.01^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$
$\Delta\tau$ (fm)	$1.56 \pm 0.12^{+0.32}_{-0.45}$
ϵ (GeV $^{-1}$)	$0.001 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.003$
γ	$0.988 \pm 0.002^{+0.006}_{-0.002}$
χ^2/DoF	90/95
confidence level	62%



Fit Results elongation in τ -model for 2-jet events

λ	0.49 ± 0.02
α	0.46 ± 0.01
R_L (fm)	0.85 ± 0.04
R_{side}/R_L	0.61 ± 0.02
R_{out}/R_L	0.66 ± 0.02
ϵ_L (GeV $^{-1}$)	0.001 ± 0.001
ϵ_{side} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.076 ± 0.003
ϵ_{out} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.029 ± 0.002
γ	1.011 ± 0.002
χ^2/DoF	14847/14921
CL	66%

Fit Results of direct tests for 2-jet events

case 1	λ	0.51 ± 0.03	0.49 ± 0.03
	α	0.46 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.01
	R_{LE} (fm)	0.84 ± 0.04	0.71 ± 0.04
	$R_{\text{side}}/R_{\text{LE}}$	0.60 ± 0.02	1
	$R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{LE}}$	0.986 ± 0.003	1
	ϵ_{LE} (GeV $^{-1}$)	0.001 ± 0.001	0.000 ± 0.001
	ϵ_{side} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.069 ± 0.003	-0.064 ± 0.003
	ϵ_{out} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.032 ± 0.002	-0.035 ± 0.002
	γ	1.010 ± 0.002	1.012 ± 0.002
	χ^2/DoF	14590/14538	14886/14540
	CL	38%	2%




Fit Results of direct tests for 2-jet events


case 2	λ	0.65 ± 0.03	0.57 ± 0.03
	α	0.41 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.01
	R_L (fm)	0.96 ± 0.05	0.82 ± 0.04
	R_{side}/R_L	0.62 ± 0.02	1
	r_{out}/R_L	1.23 ± 0.03	1
	ϵ_L (GeV $^{-1}$)	0.004 ± 0.001	0.003 ± 0.001
	ϵ_{side} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.067 ± 0.003	-0.059 ± 0.003
	ϵ_{out} (GeV $^{-1}$)	-0.022 ± 0.003	-0.029 ± 0.002
	γ	1.000 ± 0.002	1.003 ± 0.002
	χ^2/DoF	10966/10647	11430/10649
	CL	2%	10^{-7}




Transverse Mass dependence of r

R_L







Gauss

Edgew


Lévy


10^{-15}

10^{-5}

10^{-8}


R_a free
 $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 91/94$







$$R_a^{2\alpha} = \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) R^{2\alpha}$$


$$\chi^2/\text{dof} = 95/95$$





R_a free
 $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 84/94$





△


-


○


$$R_a^{2\alpha} = \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) R^{2\alpha}$$

$$\chi^2/\text{dof} = 113/95$$


$$\text{CL} = 10\%$$








Q Dependence




Q Dependence


$\Delta(\text{data})$



Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




“Boomerang” shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




“Boomerang” shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




“Boomerang” shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,




Integrating over z ,




"Boomerang" shape

Particle production is close to the light-cone






$S(r_t, \tau)$ from L3 2-jet events, $\tau = 0.01, 0.02, \dots, 0.1$ fm





(Loading movie...)



$S(r_t, \tau)$ from L3 2-jet events, $\tau = 0.01, 0.02, \dots, 0.1$ fm
















Integrating over r ,



“Boomerang” shape


Integrating over z ,



Expanding ring


Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,



“Boomerang” shape


Integrating over z ,



Expanding ring


Particle production is close to the light-cone

Integrating over r ,



“Boomerang” shape

Integrating over z ,



Expanding ring

Particle production is close to the light-cone

